MINIMUM TECHNICAL STANDARDS, VOL. 2
FINAL REPORT OF LIDAR MAPPING

PROJECT AREA F

STATE OF FLORIDA
DIVISION OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT

TASK ORDER NO. 20070525-492720
CONTRACT NO. 07-HS-34-14-00-22-469

DECEMBER 9, 2008

MINIMUM TECHNICAL STANDARDS, VOL. 2
FINAL REPORT OF LIDAR MAPPING

PROJECT AREA F

STATE OF FLORIDA
DIVISION OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT

TASK ORDER NO. 20070525-492720
CONTRACT NO. 07-HS-34-14-00-22-469

PREPARED BY:
WOOLPERT, INC.
3504 LAKE LYNDA DRIVE, SUITE 400
ORLANDO, FLORIDA 32817-1484
LB 0006777

DECEMBER 9, 2008

QUALITY

At Woolpert, quality is the cornerstone of our business. We invite your comments and suggestions for improving this document.

TRADEMARKS

NOTICE OF PROPRIETARY INFORMATION

MINIMUM TECHNICAL STANDARDS REPORT
REPORT OF TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY

Task Order No. 20070525-492720
Contract No. 07-HS-34-14-00-22-469

PROJECT AREA F

For:
State of Florida, Division of Emergency Management
"State Emergency Response Team"
2555 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2100

By:
WOOLPERT, Inc.
Laurel Building
3504 Lake Lynda Drive, Suite 400
Orlando, FL 32817-1484
Tel 407.381.2192 / Fax 407.384.1185
Florida Certificate of Authorization LB 6777

Prepared by:
Richard R. Hudson, PE, PSM
Florida Professional Surveyor and Mapper PSM 5473

SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

REPORT OF TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY
AREA F: LIDAR TOPOGRAPHIC MAPPING
FOR THE
FLORIDA DIVISION OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT

Purpose

This data set is one component of a digital terrain model (DTM) for the Florida Division of Emergency Management's (FDEM) Project Management and Technical Services for Mapping within Coastal Florida (Contract 07-HS-34-14-00-22-469), encompassing the entire coastline of Florida.

This survey was performed according to Baseline Specifications v 1.2. These specifications were developed by a coalition of GIS practitioners, including the Florida Division of Emergency Management, Florida Water Management Districts, Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Army Corp of Engineers Jacksonville District, and other state and federal agencies as the model requirements for orthophotography and LiDAR data collection for publicly funded projects within Florida.

The LiDAR topographic mapping survey is to support the Florida Division of Emergency Management (FDEM) development and maintenance of Regional Evacuation Studies (Study), which include vulnerability assessments and assist disaster response personnel in understanding threats to Florida's citizens and visitors. Additionally-intended uses for this survey are growth management, map modernization/floodplain mapping, natural lands stewardship, and homeland security planning.

Type of Survey

Topographic Survey – Line-Drawn (Vector) Topographic Features by LiDAR and Photogrammetric Methods.

Sensor Description

All data was acquired using Leica ALS50-II LiDAR sensor numbers 19 and 62. The ALS50 has a laser pulse rate of up to 150 kilohertz, records up to 4 returns per pulse, and records return intensities for 3 laser returns per pulse. The Area F LiDAR data was collected at 4,000' above ground level, at an average airspeed of 110 knots. Sensor Field of View was set to 29 degrees. Bore-sight calibration was performed at the beginning and at the end of the overall project. A description of that calibration may be found in Appendix D.

Dates of Survey

The LiDAR data was acquired June 18 – August 6, 2007. A map of the LiDAR flight lines and the dates of those flights may be found in Appendix E. The GPS ground control and QA/QC observations occurred from December 13-20, 2007.

Survey Area

The survey encompassed approximately +/-518 square miles within Charlotte and Lee Counties, Florida.

Map Reference

There are no printed maps for this survey. All map data was delivered to the Florida Division of Emergency Management in digital form only.

Name of Responsible Surveyor

Richard R. Hudson, PE, PSM
Woolpert, Inc.
Laurel Building
3504 Lake Lynda Drive
Suite 400
Orlando, Florida 32817-1484
Professional Surveyor and Mapper Number LS-0005473

Name of Company

Woolpert, Inc.
Laurel Building
3504 Lake Lynda Drive
Suite 400
Orlando, Florida 32817-1484
Florida Certificate of Authorization No. LB-0006777

Abbreviations

2D – Two-Dimensional
3D – Three-Dimensional
ABGPS – Airborne GPS
AGL – Above Ground Level
AT – Aerial Triangulation
CI – Confidence Interval
DEM – Digital Elevation Model
DTM – Digital Terrain Model
FDEM – Florida Division or Emergency Management
FGCC – Federal Geodetic Control Committee
GeoTIFF – Georeferenced Tag(ged) Image File Format
GPS – Global Positioning System
GSD – Ground Sample Distance
ID – Identification
IMU – Inertial Measurement Unit
Inc. – Incorporated
IPAS – Inertial Positioning and Attitude System

LAS – LASer File Format Exchange
LiDAR – Light Detection And Ranging
NAD 83-HARN – North American Datum 1983 High Accuracy Reference Network adjustment
NAVD 88 – North American Vertical Datum of 1988
NGS – National Geodetic Survey
NMAS – National Map Accuracy Standards
NOAA – National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NSSDA – National Standards for Spatial Data Accuracy
PSM – Professional Surveyor and Mapper
QA/QC – Quality Assurance/Quality Control
RGB – Red, Green and Blue Bands
RMSE – Root Mean Square Error
RTK – Real Time Kinematic
STD – Standard
TIFF – Tag(ged) Image File Format
TIN – Triangulated Irregular Network
USGS – United States Geological Survey
Vx – Residual Horizontal Error in the X Direction
Vy – Residual Horizontal Error in the Y Direction
Vxy – Residual Horizontal Error in the XY Direction (Resultant)
XYZ – Easting, Northing and elevation grid coordinates (ASCII format)

Definitions

Orthophoto: A digital image (raster) map produced from a series of aerial photographs and/or image strips that have been rectified to correct for aircraft tilt, terrain relief, and camera lens distortion. The resulting image has a consistent scale throughout, allowing the user to take direct measurements such as distances, angles, positions, and areas. The digital raster image is comprised of a digital grid of pixels, or picture elements. Each pixel has a row and column "address" (an X,Y coordinate) and an intensity value ranging from 0 to 255. Each pixel within an RGB image, will have an intensity value for the red, green, and blue bands. Orthophotos may be produced as a natural color image using natural color bands (red, green, blue) or as a false-color infrared image using the red, green, near-infrared bands.

Map Data Accuracy

Horizontal Feature Accuracy: Per contract specifications, the horizontal accuracy requirement is to meet or exceed a 3.8-foot horizontal accuracy at the 95% confidence level using RMSE(r) x 1.7308 as defined by the FGDC Geospatial Positioning Accuracy Standards, Part 3: NSSDA.

Vertical Feature Accuracy: Per contract specifications, the vertical accuracy requirement of the digital terrain model (DTM) is 0.6 foot at 95% confidence level using RMSE(z) x 1.9600 as defined by the National Standard for Spatial Data Accuracy (NSSDA).

For the following landcover point classifications,

1. Bare-earth and low grass
2. Brush lands and low trees
3. Forested areas fully covered by trees
4. Urban areas

Vertical accuracy guidelines are as follows from FEMA's Appendix A:

In category 1, the RMSEz must be < .30 ft ($Accuracy_z$ < .60 feet)
In category 2, the RMSEz should be < .61 ft ($Accuracy_z$ < 1.19 feet)
In category 3, the RMSEz should be < .61 ft ($Accuracy_z$ < 1.19 feet)
In category 4, the RMSEz should be < .61 ft ($Accuracy_z$< 1.19 feet)
In all categories combined, the RMSEz should be < .61 ft ($Accuracy_z$ < 1.19 feet)

Additionally, two-foot contours in unobscured areas are certified to meet or exceed National Map Accuracy Standards (NMAS). These standards state that not more than 10 percent of the elevations tested shall be in error by more than one-half the contour interval, and none will be in error by more than the full contour interval. Therefore, for a 2-foot contour interval, not more than 10 percent of the elevations tested shall be in error of more than 1 foot, and none will be in error by more that 2 feet. Two-foot contours within low confidence (obscured) areas are attributed as such and are not required to meet NMAS. Additionally, 1-foot contours are delivered for graphical purposes, and are not required to meet these accuracy standards.

The accuracy assessment was performed using a standard method to compute the root mean square error (RMSE) based on a comparison of ground control points and filtered LiDAR data points. Filtered LiDAR data has had vegetation and cultural features removed and by analysis represents bare earth elevations. The RMSE figure was used to compute the vertical National Standard for Spatial Data Accuracy (NSSDA).

The results of Woolpert's accuracy analysis are included in Appendix B, LiDAR Accuracy Checks.

Datums/Coordinate Systems

The LiDAR data and breaklines are in reference to the State Plane Coordinate System, Florida West Zone (0902), in units of US Survey Feet. The horizontal datum is NAD83-HARN, and the vertical datum is NAVD88.

Data Sources

Original Control Point Coordinates: NGS Information Services
NOAA, N/NGS12 National Geodetic Survey SSMC-3, #9202 1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-3282
Phone: (301) 713-3242 Fax: (301) 713-4172
Email: info_center@ngs.noaa.gov
http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/

Methodology

A digital terrain model (DTM) was developed from a combination of newly-flown LiDAR point data and existing orthophoto imagery. Stereo imagery was created from the LiDAR surface and orthophoto imagery using GeoCue software, generating the stereo view from the 3D LiDAR data. Terrain breakline data was photogrammetrically collected to improve the digital elevation model within this stereo view.

Area F encompasses approximately 518 square miles within Charlotte and Lee Counties, Florida (see Appendix A: Mapping Area and QC Checkpoint Locations). The LiDAR data was collected at a maximum post spacing of 4 feet in unobscured areas for random point data. The end product complies with the Florida Administrative Code 61G17, Minimum Technical Standards for Surveying and Mapping.

A minimum of one hundred and twenty (120) ground survey quality control (QC) checkpoints are required for per 500 square miles of project area. These were surveyed by Woolpert throughout the project area and were used to confirm the accuracy of the LiDAR data. The accuracy analysis was based on methods outlined in the Geospatial Positioning Accuracy Standards, Part 3: National Standards for Spatial Data Accuracy (NSSDA) developed by the Federal Geodetic Data Committee (FGDC-STD-007.3-1998).

LiDAR Ground Control Survey

The ground control network to support the LiDAR survey was comprised of 21 control points located by rapid static GPS methods to second-order horizontal and third-order vertical accuracies in Area F. For a detailed overview of the ground control survey, refer to Volume 1 of this report.

QA/QC Checkpoint Survey

To support the accuracy analysis of the topographic mapping, Woolpert acquired 124 new field-surveyed QC checkpoints using rapid static GPS ground surveys, along with conventional surveying methods to locate points within dense tree cover. Again, a detailed overview of the QA/QC checkpoint survey may be found in Volume 1 of this report.

LiDAR Acquisition and Processing

The LiDAR data was acquired using Leica ALS50-II LiDAR sensors, on June 18 – August 6, 2007. The LiDAR data was collected at a maximum post spacing of 4 feet in unobscured areas for random point data. ABGPS base stations used during acquisition were W 247, NAPL CORS, S 526, IMMOPORT, and NAPLES RESET.

The ABGPS data was reduced using the GrafNav software package by Waypoint Consulting, Incorporated.

The IMU data for Sensor 19 was reduced using the PosProc software package by Applanix Corporation. The IMU data for Sensor 62 was reduced using Leica's IPAS Pro software to process the IMU data, with Leica's IPAS sensor embedded.

The initial LiDAR "point cloud" was derived through the ALS Post Processor software package by Leica Geosystems. The ground base stations were placed at no more than a 20-mile radius from the flight survey area.

Once the initial LiDAR "point cloud" was derived, the data was reviewed to look for any systematic error within the LiDAR flights using proprietary software. After systematic error was identified and removed, above-ground features were classified and removed using proprietary software to produce the bare-earth coverage. The overlap area between flight lines was maintained in order that potentially usable data is available.

LiDAR QC/Photogrammetric Compilation

To collect the breaklines, the LiDAR data was used as the main source data set in addition to orthophotography. Orthophoto imagery for the area within Lee County was from new imagery collected and developed by the Woolpert Team. All imagery for Lee County is dated 2007 with a 0.5-foot pixel resolution. Orthophoto imagery for the areas within Charlotte County was provided by FDEM, and was provided at 1.0-foot pixel resolution and dated 2006.

Stereo imagery was created from the LiDAR surface and orthophoto imagery using GeoCue software. From these stereo images, or LiDARgrammetry, breakline features were collected along linear topographic features as required. Breakline elevations were linearly ramped between identified critical elevation points.

In accordance with the Baseline Specifications v 1.2, the following breakline features were collected:

- Closed water bodies (lakes, reservoirs, etc) as 2-D or 3-D polygons
- Linear hydrographic features (streams, shorelines, canals, swales, embankments, etc) as 3-D breaklines
- Coastal shorelines as 2-D or 3-D linear features
- Edge of pavement road features as 3-D breaklines
- Soft features (ridges, valleys, etc.) as 3-D breaklines
- Low confidence areas as 2-D polygons; island features as 2-D or 2D polygons
- Overpasses and bridges as 3-D breaklines

The Coastal Shoreline breaklines were collected at the shoreline water elevation at the land-water interface. Breakline features were captured to develop a hydrologically correct DTM.

Automated QC processes were run on the breaklines and LiDAR elevation points to check for outlying elevations not probable within the mapping area. Additional visual QC was performed to verify the automated processes.

Breakline features were compiled in the softcopy environment using ImageStation SSK software on Pentium IV, quad processor, 3GHz photogrammetric workstations. Intergraph Corporation of Huntsville, Alabama, distributes the ImageStation SSK software.

The DTM was delivered as LiDAR mass points in LAS version 1.1 and the breaklines were delivered as an ArcGIS geodatabase. A list of the 578 LAS files delivered for Area F may be found in Appendix C.

Contours were generated from a 30-foot gridded DEM: 2-foot contours meet NMAS, with 1-foot contours for visualization purposes. The LiDAR masspoints are delivered in the LAS 1.1 file format based on FDEM’s 5,000' by 5,000' grid. Contours were generated using TerraScan software, distributed by TerraScan, Inc., of Lincoln, Nebraska.

The dataset is comprised of an ESRI ArcGIS geodatabase containing the mass points (ground only), 2-D and 3-D breakline features, 1-foot and 2-foot contours, ground control, vertical test points, and a footprint of the data set; and LAS 1.1 binary files of the classified LiDAR points.

The LiDAR point classification codes for LAS 1.1 files are as follows:

- Class 1 = Unclassified
- Class 2 = Ground
- Class 7 = Noise
- Class 9 = Water
- Class 12 = Overlap

Classes 1, 2, 7, and 9 include LiDAR points in the overlap area between flight lines.

Class 1 is used for all features that do not fit into the Classes 2, 7, 9, or 12, including vegetation, buildings, etc.

Class 7 represents artifacts not representing the earth's surface (cell towers, birds, etc.) – Noise as defined above.

Shorelines of water bodies are captured as breaklines and LiDAR points inside of water bodies are classified as Class 9 = Water in the LAS deliverable.

Class 12 LiDAR points are in areas of overlapping flight lines, which have been deliberately deleted and removed from all other classes because of their reduced accuracy, for example, due to their off-nadir position.

Accuracy Checks

The vertical accuracy of the final LiDAR DTM/Mass-Point Data mapping was verified using the field-surveyed QC checkpoints. Results of those field verifications are included in Appendix B.

References

Florida GIS
Baseline Specifications for Orthophotography and LiDAR, v 1.2
http://www.floridadisaster.org/GIS/specifications/Documents/BaselineSpecifications_1.2.pdf

UGSG Internet Site for National Map Accuracy Standards.
http://erg.usgs.gov/isb/pubs/factsheets/fs17199.html#Map%20Accuracy

General Notes

1. **THIS REPORT IS NOT COMPLETE WITHOUT THE PORTABLE HARD DRIVE OF THE DIGITAL MAPPING, AND VICE VERSA.**

2. **INTENDED DISPLAY SCALE – THIS MAPPING IS INTENDED TO BE DISPLAYED AT A SCALE OF 1:1,200 (1"=100') OR SMALLER.**

3. THIS MAP COMPLIES WITH NATIONAL STANDARDS FOR SPATIAL DATA ACCURACY.

4. THIS MAP COMPLIES WITH THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY (FEMA) "GUIDELINES AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR FLOOD HAZARD MAPPING PARTNERS, APPENDIX A: GUIDANCE FOR AERIAL MAPPING AND SURVEYING."

THIS REPORT IS NOT VALID WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE AND RAISED SEAL OF A FLORIDA LICENSED SURVEYOR AND MAPPER IN RESPONSIBLE CHARGE.

Surveyor and Mapper in Responsible Charge
Richard R. Hudson, PE, PSM
Professional Surveyor and Mapper
License Number: PSM 5473

Signed: Richard R. Hudson 12/9/08
Date

Seal:



APPENDIX A: MAPPING AREA AND QC CHECKPOINT LOCATIONS



APPENDIX B: LIDAR ACCURACY CHECKS

The vertical accuracy of the LiDAR DTM was verified by comparison of the DTM/TIN against the field-surveyed QC checkpoints. The requirements are to acquire a minimum of one-hundred twenty (120) three-dimensional LiDAR QA/QC checkpoints per 500 square miles of project area. To the extent allowed by the terrain, the LiDAR control points and checkpoints are distributed so that points were spaced at intervals of at least 10% of the diagonal distance across the dataset and at least 20% of the points were located in each quadrant of the project area.

For this 518 square-mile area, 124 checkpoints are required – a total of 124 checkpoints were captured across the delivery area. Woolpert field crews observed and established 3-dimensional coordinates on four different types of landcover:

1. Bare-earth and low grass
2. Brush lands and low trees
3. Forested areas fully covered by trees
4. Urban areas

Woolpert acquired the QC checkpoints using rapid static GPS ground surveys, along with conventional surveying methods to locate points within dense tree cover. A detailed overview of the QA/QC checkpoint survey may be found in Volume 1 of this report.

The accuracy analysis was based on methods outlined in the Geospatial Positioning Accuracy Standards, Part 3: National Standards for Spatial Data Accuracy (NSSDA) developed by the Federal Geodetic Data Committee (FGDC-STD-007.3-1998). The first step was to generate a TIN from the DTM. Each QC checkpoint was then compared against its corresponding TIN elevation. The difference between field-surveyed QC checkpoint and DTM/TIN elevation represents the residual error (Vz) at that point. A statistical analysis was then performed on the residual errors.

Per contract specifications, the vertical accuracy requirement of the digital terrain model (DTM) is 0.6 foot at 95% confidence level using RMSE(z) x 1.9600 as defined by the National Standard for Spatial Data Accuracy (NSSDA).

Vertical accuracy guidelines are as follows from FEMA's Appendix A:

In category 1, the RMSEz must be < .30 ft ($Accuracy_z$ < .60 feet)
In category 2, the RMSEz should be < .61 ft ($Accuracy_z$ < 1.19 feet)
In category 3, the RMSEz should be < .61 ft ($Accuracy_z$ < 1.19 feet)
In category 4, the RMSEz should be < .61 ft ($Accuracy_z$< 1.19 feet)
In all categories combined, the RMSEz should be < .61 ft ($Accuracy_z$ < 1.19 feet)

Additionally, two-foot contours in unobscured areas are certified to meet or exceed National Map Accuracy Standards (NMAS). These standards state that not more than 10 percent of the elevations tested shall be in error by more than one-half the contour interval, and none will be in error by more than the full contour interval. Therefore, for a 2-foot contour interval, not more than 10 percent of the elevations tested shall be in error of more than 1 foot, and none will be in error by more that 2 feet. Two-foot contours within low confidence (obscured) areas are attributed as such and are not required to meet NMAS. Additionally, 1-foot contours are delivered for graphical purposes, and are not required to meet these accuracy standards.

The following table summarizes the statistical tests for the four landcover classifications, for the overall accuracy at all checkpoints, and NMAS within unobscured areas:

Statistical Summary By LANDCOVER					
Bare Earth and Low Grass			**Brush Lands and Low Trees**		
Calculated RMSEz	0.29	ft	Calculated RMSEz	0.45	ft
Target RMSEz	0.30	ft	Target RMSEz	0.61	ft
Calculated 95% CI	0.56	ft	Calculated 95% CI	0.87	ft
Target 95% CI	0.60		Target 95% CI	1.19	
Min	0.02	ft	Min	0.04	ft
Max	0.60	ft	Max	1.21	ft
Average	0.23	ft	Average	0.34	ft
Count	32		Count	29	
Forested Areas Fully Covered by Trees			**Urban Areas**		
Calculated RMSEz	0.59	ft	Calculated RMSEz	0.36	ft
Target RMSEz	0.61	ft	Target RMSEz	0.61	ft
Calculated 95% CI	1.15	ft	Calculated 95% CI	0.71	ft
Target 95% CI	1.19		Target 95% CI	1.19	
Min	0.07	ft	Min	0.01	ft
Max	1.50	ft	Max	1.15	ft
Average	0.49	ft	Average	0.23	ft
Count	31		Count	32	
Overall at All Checkpoints			**Unobscured LANDCOVER NMAS**		
Calculated RMSEz	0.43	ft	Calculated 90th Percentile	0.48	ft
Target RMSEz	0.61	ft	Target 90th Percentile	1.0	ft
Calculated 95% CI	0.85	ft	Calculated Max	1.51	ft
Target 95% CI	1.19		Target Max	2.0	ft
Min	0.01	ft	Count	64	ft
Max	1.51	ft			
Average	0.32	ft			
Count	124				

The calculated RMSEz and 95% confidence interval (CI) are shown for each of the four landcover types, and for all landcover types combined. To calculate the correlation to NMAS, only the Bare Earth and Low Grass, and the Urban Areas landcover types were considered, because these are the only “unobscured” landcover types. To calculate “not more than 10 percent” of the values, the 90th Percentile was determined for the combined Bare Earth and Low Grass, and the Urban Areas landcover measurements.

The following table lists the test results for all checkpoints:

Accuracy Analysis
Area F
Map Projection: State Plane Coordinate System, Florida West Zone
Horizontal Datum: NAD 83/HARN
Vertical Datum: NAVD 88
Units: U.S. Survey Feet
Date: December, 2008

Image Tile	QC Point	Field Truth (US SV FT)			DTM Measurement (US SV FT)	Residual Error (US SV FT)	LANDCOVER
CELLNUM	ID	Northing	Easting	Elevation	Elevation	Vz	
096139_W	6001	899296.44	691869.27	26.90	26.32	-0.576	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
097641_W	6006	870677.20	703780.49	16.40	16.31	-0.094	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
098540_W	6011	855479.06	699450.75	10.39	10.55	0.158	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
100040_W	6016	834239.98	695683.08	9.79	9.87	0.08	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
100343_W	6021	826959.54	714926.70	20.69	20.84	0.147	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
101841_W	6026	804420.92	701539.39	10.66	11.00	0.334	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
101843_W	6031	802376.08	714926.50	20.83	21.01	0.181	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
103341_W	6036	778117.04	704094.16	1.75	2.23	0.479	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
103343_W	6041	778344.02	713812.22	14.00	14.48	0.482	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
105144_W	6046	746266.19	717626.65	14.70	14.94	0.245	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
104840_W	6051	752749.77	696736.53	4.49	4.88	0.397	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
106344_W	6061	726005.66	718083.06	10.85	10.75	-0.102	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
105748_W	6067	736936.58	737684.24	13.41	12.81	-0.598	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
103947_W	6071	765292.70	734310.81	18.20	18.25	0.052	BARE EARTH

							AND LOW GRASS
103047_W	6076	784487.99	734640.26	21.45	21.55	0.093	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
101847_W	6081	804881.04	733709.96	25.55	25.71	0.158	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
100047_W	6086	831281.79	731389.34	19.94	20.07	0.131	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
099147_W	6091	849859.11	731766.96	16.41	16.17	-0.24	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
097649_W	6096	874362.78	743970.86	11.24	10.91	-0.333	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
097045_W	6098	883658.69	720999.24	23.70	23.67	-0.028	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
096445_W	6107	892808.06	721409.72	26.99	26.87	-0.111	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
095547_W	6108	907250.93	734479.91	29.43	29.85	0.424	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
096747_W	6113	885492.16	734269.10	24.45	24.81	0.354	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
097652_W	6118	874034.43	759868.83	14.88	14.53	-0.351	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
098853_W	6123	852588.15	760194.19	17.47	17.45	-0.017	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
099752_W	6128	835114.62	758533.24	21.27	20.95	-0.326	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
101851_W	6138	802753.55	752560.48	27.96	28.06	0.1	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
103051_W	6144	783967.49	753269.17	24.62	24.67	0.058	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
104552_W	6148	758867.45	759951.02	17.88	17.95	0.064	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
106352_W	6154	726336.02	755019.54	17.40	17.31	-0.095	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
103351_W	QUARRY	778732.83	750368.10	26.23	26.37	0.135	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
100941_W	W 247	818713.94	703160.22	15.90	15.40	-0.501	BARE EARTH AND LOW GRASS
096139_W	6000	899442.79	691871.69	27.03	26.42	-0.608	URBAN AREAS
097641_W	6005	870594.99	703852.07	16.84	16.16	-0.682	URBAN AREAS
098540_W	6010	855465.28	699176.36	8.68	8.89	0.207	URBAN

							AREAS
100040_W	6015	834189.23	695419.00	10.35	10.22	-0.128	URBAN AREAS
100344_W	6020	826970.61	715104.57	21.52	21.47	-0.045	URBAN AREAS
101841_W	6025	804331.16	701802.67	13.29	13.66	0.372	URBAN AREAS
101843_W	6030	802816.34	714435.54	17.13	17.15	0.019	URBAN AREAS
101843_W	6032	802223.90	714912.78	16.55	16.80	0.252	URBAN AREAS
103341_W	6035	778151.42	704225.92	2.57	2.75	0.18	URBAN AREAS
103343_W	6040	778223.81	713854.32	13.30	13.41	0.113	URBAN AREAS
105144_W	6045	746299.40	717627.92	15.63	15.51	-0.122	URBAN AREAS
104840_W	6050	752659.88	696792.34	4.16	4.14	-0.022	URBAN AREAS
105448_W	6065	741751.87	735908.48	15.65	14.14	-1.511	URBAN AREAS
103947_W	6070	765069.73	734037.58	19.18	19.56	0.382	URBAN AREAS
103047_W	6075	784426.97	734739.34	23.96	24.07	0.104	URBAN AREAS
101847_W	6080	804833.15	733566.77	26.30	26.26	-0.043	URBAN AREAS
100047_W	6085	831354.84	731312.27	22.42	22.44	0.021	URBAN AREAS
099147_W	6090	849751.73	731803.85	17.22	17.03	-0.188	URBAN AREAS
097649_W	6095	872847.96	743975.37	9.83	9.66	-0.174	URBAN AREAS
097045_W	6097	883694.82	720922.57	25.65	25.59	-0.062	URBAN AREAS
096445_W	6102	892827.83	721993.00	26.50	26.61	0.104	URBAN AREAS
095847_W	6103	904661.73	734331.71	30.37	30.36	-0.009	URBAN AREAS
096747_W	6112	885677.35	734259.83	26.01	26.12	0.107	URBAN AREAS
097652_W	6117	874166.48	759810.70	15.02	14.85	-0.171	URBAN AREAS
098853_W	6122	852480.57	760179.30	18.15	17.94	-0.217	URBAN AREAS
099752_W	6127	835243.43	758175.92	21.59	21.29	-0.298	URBAN AREAS
100356_W	6132	828594.46	777311.77	23.01	22.72	-0.29	URBAN AREAS
100356_W	6133	828892.25	777167.06	22.74	22.30	-0.436	URBAN AREAS
101851_W	6137	802717.48	752385.35	27.74	27.70	-0.046	URBAN AREAS
103051_W	6143	783993.81	751016.14	24.94	24.80	-0.135	URBAN AREAS
104552_W	6147	758928.01	759980.71	17.92	17.74	-0.188	URBAN AREAS
106352_W	6153	725754.43	755926.11	17.08	16.91	-0.17	URBAN AREAS
096139_W	6002	899435.76	691930.82	25.32	25.00	-0.316	BRUSH LANDS AND

							LOW TREES
097941_W	6008	869914.83	702804.81	17.13	17.37	0.238	BRUSH LANDS AND LOW TREES
098540_W	6013	855363.42	699277.04	9.72	10.85	1.13	BRUSH LANDS AND LOW TREES
100040_W	6017	834337.66	695642.71	13.44	13.62	0.18	BRUSH LANDS AND LOW TREES
100343_W	6022	826760.69	714981.56	18.05	18.10	0.043	BRUSH LANDS AND LOW TREES
101841_W	6027	804376.95	701933.29	9.92	11.13	1.213	BRUSH LANDS AND LOW TREES
103341_W	6037	778056.81	704293.83	2.50	2.85	0.346	BRUSH LANDS AND LOW TREES
105144_W	6047	746631.47	717727.54	13.77	13.81	0.038	BRUSH LANDS AND LOW TREES
104840_W	6052	752274.64	696989.27	1.94	2.13	0.191	BRUSH LANDS AND LOW TREES
106346_W	6063	725720.81	728428.05	9.56	9.48	-0.083	BRUSH LANDS AND LOW TREES
105448_W	6066	741756.42	735976.98	13.38	13.14	-0.234	BRUSH LANDS AND LOW TREES
103947_W	6072	765419.74	734242.93	16.39	16.80	0.407	BRUSH LANDS AND LOW TREES
103047_W	6077	784411.01	734484.66	22.08	22.63	0.556	BRUSH LANDS AND LOW TREES
101847_W	6082	804774.66	733469.44	21.73	22.71	0.976	BRUSH LANDS AND LOW TREES
100047_W	6087	831313.33	732298.38	21.70	21.93	0.232	BRUSH LANDS AND LOW TREES
099147_W	6092	849671.27	731440.78	16.21	16.13	-0.085	BRUSH LANDS AND LOW TREES
097045_W	6099	883794.96	720946.22	24.87	25.19	0.32	BRUSH LANDS AND LOW TREES
096445_W	6104	892836.33	721280.46	26.79	27.12	0.332	BRUSH LANDS AND LOW TREES
095847_W	6109	904644.08	734593.59	28.85	28.39	-0.461	BRUSH LANDS AND LOW TREES
096747_W	6114	885734.53	734404.81	24.97	25.30	0.327	BRUSH LANDS AND LOW TREES
097652_W	6119	874237.77	759841.51	15.06	14.88	-0.178	BRUSH LANDS AND LOW TREES

098853_W	6124	852539.32	760112.16	18.08	18.41	0.326	BRUSH LANDS AND LOW TREES
099752_W	6129	835140.04	758457.59	20.60	20.84	0.243	BRUSH LANDS AND LOW TREES
100356_W	6134	828937.17	777158.38	23.09	22.71	-0.376	BRUSH LANDS AND LOW TREES
101851_W	6139	802900.72	752829.65	23.97	24.33	0.359	BRUSH LANDS AND LOW TREES
103051_W	6145	784022.31	751121.98	22.17	22.35	0.188	BRUSH LANDS AND LOW TREES
104552_W	6149	758840.12	759848.89	17.49	17.56	0.07	BRUSH LANDS AND LOW TREES
106351_W	6155	726249.12	754887.32	13.08	13.02	-0.06	BRUSH LANDS AND LOW TREES
105747_W	I75 81 A13	738005.56	733505.31	15.65	15.90	0.253	BRUSH LANDS AND LOW TREES
105144_W	6300	746340.64	717987.67	13.45	13.38	-0.072	FORESTED AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES
105144_W	6301	746395.22	718045.64	13.01	13.21	0.201	FORESTED AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES
105144_W	6302	746352.12	718169.39	13.46	13.95	0.498	FORESTED AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES
103341_W	6303	778194.58	704321.77	1.28	2.78	1.499	FORESTED AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES
103341_W	6304	778177.82	704283.93	1.35	2.52	1.173	FORESTED AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES
103341_W	6305	778223.43	704255.49	1.58	2.17	0.593	FORESTED AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES
103947_W	6306	765457.95	734537.26	15.61	15.91	0.307	FORESTED AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES
103947_W	6307	765295.49	734563.42	15.62	15.97	0.358	FORESTED AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES
103947_W	6308	765211.12	734358.57	16.37	16.59	0.213	FORESTED AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES
101847_W	6309	804959.70	734059.48	23.35	23.54	0.192	FORESTED

							AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES
101847_W	6310	804799.20	733895.95	24.06	24.29	0.234	FORESTED AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES
101847_W	6311	804709.71	733659.91	21.50	21.85	0.349	FORESTED AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES
101843_W	6312	802343.09	714841.54	16.47	16.87	0.401	FORESTED AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES
101844_W	6313	802261.06	715170.59	15.55	15.92	0.372	FORESTED AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES
101844_W	6314	802453.10	715150.62	16.26	16.83	0.571	FORESTED AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES
097941_W	6315	869888.12	702730.07	15.45	16.14	0.689	FORESTED AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES
097641_W	6316	870045.53	702794.33	15.79	16.42	0.636	FORESTED AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES
097941_W	6317	869932.66	702878.60	15.35	15.97	0.62	FORESTED AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES
097649_W	6318	874639.26	743645.28	9.63	9.89	0.262	FORESTED AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES
097649_W	6319	874622.73	743762.58	10.11	10.35	0.237	FORESTED AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES
097649_W	6320	874674.82	743910.65	10.16	10.40	0.235	FORESTED AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES
097649_W	6321	874604.21	743928.17	10.85	11.11	0.267	FORESTED AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES
099147_W	6322	849329.80	731374.22	16.29	16.79	0.502	FORESTED AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES
099147_W	6323	849419.36	731252.27	16.26	17.08	0.819	FORESTED AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES
099147_W	6324	849510.37	731242.99	16.30	17.53	1.237	FORESTED AREAS FULLY

							COVERED BY TREES
100356_W	6325	828666.71	777361.62	23.54	23.35	-0.186	FORESTED AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES
100356_W	6326	828763.28	777400.76	22.58	23.12	0.547	FORESTED AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES
100356_W	6327	828848.95	777387.03	22.60	23.24	0.643	FORESTED AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES
103051_W	6328	783944.41	751013.59	23.70	23.13	-0.576	FORESTED AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES
103051_W	6329	784155.53	751050.45	23.04	23.49	0.444	FORESTED AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES
103051_W	6330	784164.10	750846.40	22.63	22.82	0.196	FORESTED AREAS FULLY COVERED BY TREES

APPENDIX C: LAS FILES DELIVERED

LID2007_095239_W.las
LID2007_095240_W.las
LID2007_095241_W.las
LID2007_095242_W.las
LID2007_095243_W.las
LID2007_095244_W.las
LID2007_095245_W.las
LID2007_095246_W.las
LID2007_095539_W.las
LID2007_095540_W.las
LID2007_095541_W.las
LID2007_095542_W.las
LID2007_095543_W.las
LID2007_095544_W.las
LID2007_095545_W.las
LID2007_095546_W.las
LID2007_095547_W.las
LID2007_095548_W.las
LID2007_095549_W.las
LID2007_095839_W.las
LID2007_095840_W.las
LID2007_095841_W.las
LID2007_095842_W.las
LID2007_095843_W.las
LID2007_095844_W.las
LID2007_095845_W.las
LID2007_095846_W.las
LID2007_095847_W.las
LID2007_095848_W.las
LID2007_095849_W.las
LID2007_096139_W.las
LID2007_096140_W.las
LID2007_096141_W.las
LID2007_096142_W.las
LID2007_096143_W.las
LID2007_096144_W.las
LID2007_096145_W.las
LID2007_096146_W.las
LID2007_096147_W.las
LID2007_096148_W.las
LID2007_096149_W.las
LID2007_096150_W.las
LID2007_096151_W.las
LID2007_096152_W.las
LID2007_096439_W.las
LID2007_096440_W.las
LID2007_096441_W.las
LID2007_096442_W.las
LID2007_096443_W.las
LID2007_096444_W.las
LID2007_096445_W.las
LID2007_096446_W.las
LID2007_096447_W.las
LID2007_096448_W.las
LID2007_096449_W.las
LID2007_096450_W.las
LID2007_096451_W.las
LID2007_096452_W.las
LID2007_096739_W.las
LID2007_096740_W.las
LID2007_096741_W.las
LID2007_096742_W.las
LID2007_096743_W.las
LID2007_096744_W.las
LID2007_096745_W.las
LID2007_096746_W.las
LID2007_096747_W.las
LID2007_096748_W.las
LID2007_096749_W.las
LID2007_096750_W.las
LID2007_096751_W.las
LID2007_096752_W.las
LID2007_096753_W.las
LID2007_097039_W.las
LID2007_097040_W.las
LID2007_097041_W.las
LID2007_097042_W.las
LID2007_097043_W.las
LID2007_097044_W.las
LID2007_097045_W.las
LID2007_097046_W.las
LID2007_097047_W.las
LID2007_097048_W.las
LID2007_097049_W.las
LID2007_097050_W.las
LID2007_097051_W.las
LID2007_097052_W.las
LID2007_097053_W.las
LID2007_097339_W.las
LID2007_097340_W.las
LID2007_097341_W.las
LID2007_097342_W.las
LID2007_097343_W.las
LID2007_097344_W.las
LID2007_097345_W.las
LID2007_097346_W.las
LID2007_097347_W.las
LID2007_097348_W.las
LID2007_097349_W.las
LID2007_097350_W.las
LID2007_097351_W.las
LID2007_097352_W.las
LID2007_097353_W.las
LID2007_097354_W.las
LID2007_097639_W.las
LID2007_097640_W.las
LID2007_097641_W.las
LID2007_097642_W.las
LID2007_097643_W.las
LID2007_097644_W.las
LID2007_097645_W.las
LID2007_097646_W.las
LID2007_097647_W.las
LID2007_097648_W.las
LID2007_097649_W.las
LID2007_097650_W.las
LID2007_097651_W.las
LID2007_097652_W.las
LID2007_097653_W.las
LID2007_097654_W.las
LID2007_097939_W.las
LID2007_097940_W.las
LID2007_097941_W.las
LID2007_097942_W.las
LID2007_097943_W.las
LID2007_097944_W.las
LID2007_097945_W.las
LID2007_097946_W.las
LID2007_097947_W.las
LID2007_097948_W.las
LID2007_097949_W.las
LID2007_097950_W.las
LID2007_097951_W.las
LID2007_097952_W.las
LID2007_097953_W.las
LID2007_097954_W.las
LID2007_098239_W.las
LID2007_098240_W.las
LID2007_098241_W.las
LID2007_098242_W.las
LID2007_098243_W.las

LID2007_098244_W.las
LID2007_098245_W.las
LID2007_098246_W.las
LID2007_098247_W.las
LID2007_098248_W.las
LID2007_098249_W.las
LID2007_098250_W.las
LID2007_098251_W.las
LID2007_098252_W.las
LID2007_098253_W.las
LID2007_098254_W.las
LID2007_098539_W.las
LID2007_098540_W.las
LID2007_098541_W.las
LID2007_098542_W.las
LID2007_098543_W.las
LID2007_098544_W.las
LID2007_098545_W.las
LID2007_098546_W.las
LID2007_098547_W.las
LID2007_098548_W.las
LID2007_098549_W.las
LID2007_098550_W.las
LID2007_098551_W.las
LID2007_098552_W.las
LID2007_098553_W.las
LID2007_098554_W.las
LID2007_098839_W.las
LID2007_098840_W.las
LID2007_098841_W.las
LID2007_098842_W.las
LID2007_098843_W.las
LID2007_098844_W.las
LID2007_098845_W.las
LID2007_098846_W.las
LID2007_098847_W.las
LID2007_098848_W.las
LID2007_098849_W.las
LID2007_098850_W.las
LID2007_098851_W.las
LID2007_098852_W.las
LID2007_098853_W.las
LID2007_098854_W.las
LID2007_099139_W.las
LID2007_099140_W.las
LID2007_099141_W.las
LID2007_099142_W.las
LID2007_099143_W.las
LID2007_099144_W.las
LID2007_099145_W.las
LID2007_099146_W.las
LID2007_099147_W.las
LID2007_099148_W.las
LID2007_099149_W.las
LID2007_099150_W.las
LID2007_099151_W.las
LID2007_099152_W.las
LID2007_099153_W.las
LID2007_099154_W.las
LID2007_099439_W.las
LID2007_099440_W.las
LID2007_099441_W.las
LID2007_099442_W.las
LID2007_099443_W.las
LID2007_099444_W.las
LID2007_099445_W.las
LID2007_099446_W.las
LID2007_099447_W.las
LID2007_099448_W.las
LID2007_099449_W.las
LID2007_099450_W.las
LID2007_099451_W.las
LID2007_099452_W.las
LID2007_099453_W.las
LID2007_099454_W.las
LID2007_099455_W.las
LID2007_099739_W.las
LID2007_099740_W.las
LID2007_099741_W.las
LID2007_099742_W.las
LID2007_099743_W.las
LID2007_099744_W.las
LID2007_099745_W.las
LID2007_099746_W.las
LID2007_099747_W.las
LID2007_099748_W.las
LID2007_099749_W.las
LID2007_099750_W.las
LID2007_099751_W.las
LID2007_099752_W.las
LID2007_099753_W.las
LID2007_099754_W.las
LID2007_099755_W.las
LID2007_100039_W.las
LID2007_100040_W.las
LID2007_100041_W.las
LID2007_100042_W.las
LID2007_100043_W.las
LID2007_100044_W.las
LID2007_100045_W.las
LID2007_100046_W.las
LID2007_100047_W.las
LID2007_100048_W.las
LID2007_100049_W.las
LID2007_100050_W.las
LID2007_100051_W.las
LID2007_100052_W.las
LID2007_100053_W.las
LID2007_100054_W.las
LID2007_100055_W.las
LID2007_100056_W.las
LID2007_100057_W.las
LID2007_100339_W.las
LID2007_100340_W.las
LID2007_100341_W.las
LID2007_100342_W.las
LID2007_100343_W.las
LID2007_100344_W.las
LID2007_100345_W.las
LID2007_100346_W.las
LID2007_100347_W.las
LID2007_100348_W.las
LID2007_100349_W.las
LID2007_100350_W.las
LID2007_100351_W.las
LID2007_100352_W.las
LID2007_100353_W.las
LID2007_100354_W.las
LID2007_100355_W.las
LID2007_100356_W.las
LID2007_100357_W.las
LID2007_100639_W.las
LID2007_100640_W.las
LID2007_100641_W.las
LID2007_100642_W.las
LID2007_100643_W.las
LID2007_100644_W.las
LID2007_100645_W.las
LID2007_100646_W.las
LID2007_100647_W.las
LID2007_100648_W.las
LID2007_100649_W.las
LID2007_100650_W.las
LID2007_100651_W.las
LID2007_100652_W.las
LID2007_100654_W.las
LID2007_100655_W.las
LID2007_100656_W.las
LID2007_100657_W.las
LID2007_100939_W.las
LID2007_100940_W.las
LID2007_100941_W.las
LID2007_100942_W.las

LID2007_100943_W.las
LID2007_100944_W.las
LID2007_100945_W.las
LID2007_100946_W.las
LID2007_100947_W.las
LID2007_100948_W.las
LID2007_100949_W.las
LID2007_100950_W.las
LID2007_100951_W.las
LID2007_100952_W.las
LID2007_101239_W.las
LID2007_101240_W.las
LID2007_101241_W.las
LID2007_101242_W.las
LID2007_101243_W.las
LID2007_101244_W.las
LID2007_101245_W.las
LID2007_101246_W.las
LID2007_101247_W.las
LID2007_101248_W.las
LID2007_101249_W.las
LID2007_101250_W.las
LID2007_101251_W.las
LID2007_101252_W.las
LID2007_101253_W.las
LID2007_101539_W.las
LID2007_101540_W.las
LID2007_101541_W.las
LID2007_101542_W.las
LID2007_101543_W.las
LID2007_101544_W.las
LID2007_101545_W.las
LID2007_101546_W.las
LID2007_101547_W.las
LID2007_101548_W.las
LID2007_101549_W.las
LID2007_101550_W.las
LID2007_101551_W.las
LID2007_101552_W.las
LID2007_101553_W.las
LID2007_101839_W.las
LID2007_101840_W.las
LID2007_101841_W.las
LID2007_101842_W.las
LID2007_101843_W.las
LID2007_101844_W.las
LID2007_101845_W.las
LID2007_101846_W.las
LID2007_101847_W.las
LID2007_101848_W.las
LID2007_101849_W.las
LID2007_101850_W.las
LID2007_101851_W.las
LID2007_101852_W.las
LID2007_102139_W.las
LID2007_102140_W.las
LID2007_102141_W.las
LID2007_102142_W.las
LID2007_102143_W.las
LID2007_102144_W.las
LID2007_102145_W.las
LID2007_102146_W.las
LID2007_102147_W.las
LID2007_102148_W.las
LID2007_102149_W.las
LID2007_102150_W.las
LID2007_102151_W.las
LID2007_102152_W.las
LID2007_102439_W.las
LID2007_102440_W.las
LID2007_102441_W.las
LID2007_102442_W.las
LID2007_102443_W.las
LID2007_102444_W.las
LID2007_102445_W.las
LID2007_102446_W.las
LID2007_102447_W.las
LID2007_102448_W.las
LID2007_102449_W.las
LID2007_102450_W.las
LID2007_102451_W.las
LID2007_102452_W.las
LID2007_102739_W.las
LID2007_102740_W.las
LID2007_102741_W.las
LID2007_102742_W.las
LID2007_102743_W.las
LID2007_102744_W.las
LID2007_102745_W.las
LID2007_102746_W.las
LID2007_102747_W.las
LID2007_102748_W.las
LID2007_102749_W.las
LID2007_102750_W.las
LID2007_102751_W.las
LID2007_102752_W.las
LID2007_103039_W.las
LID2007_103040_W.las
LID2007_103041_W.las
LID2007_103042_W.las
LID2007_103043_W.las
LID2007_103044_W.las
LID2007_103045_W.las
LID2007_103046_W.las
LID2007_103047_W.las
LID2007_103048_W.las
LID2007_103049_W.las
LID2007_103050_W.las
LID2007_103051_W.las
LID2007_103339_W.las
LID2007_103340_W.las
LID2007_103341_W.las
LID2007_103342_W.las
LID2007_103343_W.las
LID2007_103344_W.las
LID2007_103345_W.las
LID2007_103346_W.las
LID2007_103347_W.las
LID2007_103348_W.las
LID2007_103349_W.las
LID2007_103350_W.las
LID2007_103351_W.las
LID2007_103639_W.las
LID2007_103640_W.las
LID2007_103641_W.las
LID2007_103642_W.las
LID2007_103643_W.las
LID2007_103644_W.las
LID2007_103645_W.las
LID2007_103646_W.las
LID2007_103647_W.las
LID2007_103648_W.las
LID2007_103649_W.las
LID2007_103650_W.las
LID2007_103651_W.las
LID2007_103652_W.las
LID2007_103653_W.las
LID2007_103939_W.las
LID2007_103940_W.las
LID2007_103941_W.las
LID2007_103942_W.las
LID2007_103943_W.las
LID2007_103944_W.las
LID2007_103945_W.las
LID2007_103946_W.las
LID2007_103947_W.las
LID2007_103948_W.las
LID2007_103949_W.las
LID2007_103950_W.las
LID2007_103951_W.las
LID2007_103952_W.las
LID2007_103953_W.las
LID2007_104239_W.las

LID2007_104240_W.las
LID2007_104241_W.las
LID2007_104242_W.las
LID2007_104243_W.las
LID2007_104244_W.las
LID2007_104245_W.las
LID2007_104246_W.las
LID2007_104247_W.las
LID2007_104248_W.las
LID2007_104249_W.las
LID2007_104250_W.las
LID2007_104251_W.las
LID2007_104252_W.las
LID2007_104253_W.las
LID2007_104254_W.las
LID2007_104539_W.las
LID2007_104540_W.las
LID2007_104541_W.las
LID2007_104542_W.las
LID2007_104543_W.las
LID2007_104544_W.las
LID2007_104545_W.las
LID2007_104546_W.las
LID2007_104547_W.las
LID2007_104548_W.las
LID2007_104549_W.las
LID2007_104550_W.las
LID2007_104551_W.las
LID2007_104552_W.las
LID2007_104553_W.las
LID2007_104554_W.las
LID2007_104839_W.las
LID2007_104840_W.las
LID2007_104841_W.las
LID2007_104842_W.las
LID2007_104843_W.las
LID2007_104844_W.las
LID2007_104845_W.las
LID2007_104846_W.las
LID2007_104847_W.las
LID2007_104848_W.las
LID2007_104849_W.las
LID2007_104850_W.las
LID2007_104851_W.las
LID2007_104852_W.las
LID2007_104853_W.las
LID2007_104854_W.las
LID2007_105139_W.las
LID2007_105140_W.las
LID2007_105141_W.las
LID2007_105142_W.las
LID2007_105143_W.las
LID2007_105144_W.las
LID2007_105145_W.las
LID2007_105146_W.las
LID2007_105147_W.las
LID2007_105148_W.las
LID2007_105149_W.las
LID2007_105150_W.las
LID2007_105151_W.las
LID2007_105152_W.las
LID2007_105153_W.las
LID2007_105154_W.las
LID2007_105440_W.las
LID2007_105441_W.las
LID2007_105442_W.las
LID2007_105443_W.las
LID2007_105444_W.las
LID2007_105445_W.las
LID2007_105446_W.las
LID2007_105447_W.las
LID2007_105448_W.las
LID2007_105449_W.las
LID2007_105450_W.las
LID2007_105451_W.las
LID2007_105452_W.las
LID2007_105453_W.las
LID2007_105454_W.las
LID2007_105741_W.las
LID2007_105742_W.las
LID2007_105743_W.las
LID2007_105744_W.las
LID2007_105745_W.las
LID2007_105746_W.las
LID2007_105747_W.las
LID2007_105748_W.las
LID2007_105749_W.las
LID2007_105750_W.las
LID2007_105751_W.las
LID2007_105752_W.las
LID2007_105753_W.las
LID2007_105754_W.las
LID2007_106041_W.las
LID2007_106042_W.las
LID2007_106043_W.las
LID2007_106044_W.las
LID2007_106045_W.las
LID2007_106046_W.las
LID2007_106047_W.las
LID2007_106048_W.las
LID2007_106049_W.las
LID2007_106050_W.las
LID2007_106051_W.las
LID2007_106052_W.las
LID2007_106053_W.las
LID2007_106054_W.las
LID2007_106341_W.las
LID2007_106342_W.las
LID2007_106343_W.las
LID2007_106344_W.las
LID2007_106345_W.las
LID2007_106346_W.las
LID2007_106347_W.las
LID2007_106348_W.las
LID2007_106349_W.las
LID2007_106350_W.las
LID2007_106351_W.las
LID2007_106352_W.las
LID2007_106353_W.las
LID2007_106354_W.las
LID2007_106644_W.las
LID2007_106645_W.las
LID2007_106646_W.las
LID2007_106647_W.las
LID2007_106648_W.las
LID2007_106649_W.las
LID2007_106650_W.las
LID2007_106651_W.las
LID2007_106652_W.las
LID2007_106653_W.las
LID2007_106654_W.las

APPENDIX D: LIDAR CALIBRATION

Photo Science ALS50 LiDAR Calibrations

Introduction

Woolpert Team member Photo Science, Inc., performed all LiDAR acquisition and post processing. The following is the LiDAR system calibration report from Photo Science.

Overview

This Calibration Report shall be used to confirm LiDAR system specifications, performance, and requirements. The system functionality, elevation, and horizontal accuracy performance shall be demonstrated for calibration purposes. Photo Science completes calibration flights at regular intervals for ongoing monitoring of correction values, both at our home airport as well as in the field.

Once computed, the various derived values for correcting the inherent errors in the system should remain fairly constant, monitoring to ensure that no value starts to change more than expected. The sensors come from the factory with a set of values provided, measured by Leica, many of which will not change over the life of the system. Even moving a sensor in to and out of an aircraft should not appreciably change the correction values unless it experiences a hard bump or other trauma; the calibrated values are internal to the sensor.

Our main source of calibration data is collected in the form of Leica's prescribed Attune method. This involves collecting opposing passes at right angles to one another at 1270m above ground, and again at 770m above ground, centered over the same ground features, and using their proprietary calibration software for picking common tiepoints to determine roll, pitch, and heading correction values. They normally require 4 total passes at a minimum (2 high, 2 low) and have strong suggestions about types of features to use as tie-points.

We have slightly modified Leica's Attune flight procedure, with their guidance, wherein we fly 10 passes (4 high crisscross, 2 high offset, and 4 low crisscross) as seen below. This terrain includes not only the flat pavement of the airport and its surroundings, but a large amount of residential and commercial features in a gently rolling setting.





Periodically, roughly twice a year, we collect calibration data at 11000 feet above our home airport and have it analyzed by Leica with their higher-level calibration regimen. The increased flying height exaggerates the internal misalignments and makes them easier to measure, serving as tighter comparison benchmarks for the previous and subsequent Attune flights.

For this entire project we used the following sensors and aircraft:
Leica ALS50 Phase II Capable: serial number 019, mounted in N7320G
Leica ALS50 Phase II : serial number 059, mounted in N9471R and N2448G
Leica ALS50 Phase II : serial number 062, mounted in N2448G

Antenna Offsets

We mount our LiDAR systems exclusively in our fleet of Cessna 206 aircraft, removing them as little as possible to help maintain consistent system integrity. As such, our GPS antennas and the mounting plates for the sensor heads remain constant per plane. Once a new plane or sensor is incorporated in to our fleet and the initial sensor installation is completed, we have our ground survey team derive the offsets with a total station. That antenna offset value will not change unless the placement of a sensor's head within the aircraft changes.

N7320G, 1977 Cessna 206
X = -0.07
Y = 0.05
Z = -1.10

N9471R, 1985 Cessna 206
X = 0.875
Y = -0.125
Z = 1.012

N2448G, 2001 Cessna 206
X = -0.018
Y = -0.169
Z = -1.057

Leica provides their precisely measured internal IMU offsets, with respect to the focal point of the system's mirror, per each of the 2 types of IMU they use. These are embedded into the sensors' firmware for carrying forward into the subsequent trajectory-generating software, so these are not measured by us.

GPS Base Stationing

Whether calibration flights occur at our home airport (FFT – Capital City Airport in Frankfort, KY) or in the field on a project site, we strive to set up our GPS base station over the Primary Airport Control Station (PACS) as indicated by the National Geodetic Survey. If this is not possible, or the flight is only for purposes of resolving roll, pitch, and heading corrections, we can use almost any point because the software is solving the corrections for these parameters within the flight's data, not with respect to absolute positions on the ground.

Photo Science uses Trimble 5700 GPS data logging units paired with Trimble Zephyr Geodetic antennas. We log at a 2hz interval (every ½ second) and with a 5 degree elevation mask. We also use variable height tripods, measured and logged at the beginning and end of each session.

Ground Control Points / Vertical Bias

Due to electronic delay within the sensor, there is a constant element of vertical bias which must be corrected. We have surveyed many points along the length and width of the runway and taxiways of our home airport and reference this in to our calibration flights to monitor over time that the pertinent correction value is unchanging. In the case of an upgrade or repair to certain parts of the sensor, we recalculate this value.

Overall Calibration Results

The values below are a combination of constants provided by the manufacturer and variables derived from analysis of data collected over Photo Science's calibration site(s). These were the used throughout the Florida Gulf Coast 2007 project, with minor variations per individual aircraft sortie as needed.

June 23rd, 2007

	Parameter	Value
SN19	**Leica provided**	
	Encoder Latency	0.0 mcr sec
	Ticks Per Revolution	8388608 ticks
	Ranging Correction	-0.48m
	Scan Angle Correction	-19120 ticks
	Pitch Slope	0.0000185 rad/deg
	Attitude	
	Roll	0.00088397 rad
	Pitch	0.00966448 rad
	Heading	-0.00282358 rad
	Mechanical	
	Torsion	-19370 units

June 14th, 2007

SN59	Parameter	Value
	Leica provided	
	Encoder Latency	0.5 mcr sec
	Ticks Per Revolution	8388608 ticks
	Ranging Correction	1.258m
	Scan Angle Correction	8000 ticks
	Pitch Slope	0.000058 rad/deg
	Attitude	
	Roll	0.00170705 rad
	Pitch	0.01463471 rad
	Heading	-0.00165231 rad
	Mechanical	
	Torsion	-60000 units

Provided by Leica – their 'loaner' unit

SN62	Parameter	Value
	Leica provided	
	Encoder Latency	0.0 mcr sec
	Ticks Per Revolution	8388608 ticks
	Ranging Correction	2.425m
	Scan Angle Correction	23800 ticks
	Pitch Slope	0.00000011 rad/deg
	Attitude	
	Roll	0.004918 rad
	Pitch	0.00956337 rad
	Heading	0.0000545 rad
	Mechanical	
	Torsion	-35000 units

APPENDIX E: LIDAR FLIGHT DATES